

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,136	09/12/2003	Alejandro J. Gonzalez	1 . 839-B. 03	9087
•	7590 02/22/2005		EXAM	INER
MALLOY & MALLOY, P.A.			LEUNG, RICHARD L	
Historic Coral	Way			
2800 S.W. Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Miami, FL 33129			3744	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/662,136	GONZALEZ, ALEJANDRO J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard L. Leung	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days it will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE!	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	February 2005.					
•—	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
Disposition of Claims						
 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ◯ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are allowed. 6) ◯ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	cepted or b) objected to by the le e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/662,136

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 2 recites the limitation whereby, "said pressurization assembly is integrally formed with said container." No support for this limitation could be found in Applicant's disclosure. While it is noted that page 11, lines 2-4 recites that the pressurization assembly is operatively associated with the cryogenic fluid source, and preferably with the container, this is insufficient support for the claim because being operatively associated with the container is not deemed equivalent to being integrally formed with the container. Furthermore, it is noted from the specification that the pressurization assembly comprises at least one pressurized fluid source and may further comprise a pressure regulator, but there is no written description in the specification that would enable any person skilled in the art to form these components integrally with the container. This rejection may be overcome by showing where the limitations of the claim are supported in the specification.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/662,136

Art Unit: 3744

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1 and 3-8 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments, filed 04 February 2005, with respect to the rejections of claims 1 and 3-8 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 and 11 of US 6619048 B1 (Gonzalez), have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of the terminal disclaimer filed with Applicant's arguments. These rejections of claims 1 and 3-8 have been withdrawn.
- Applicant's arguments, however, fail to address the rejection of claim 2 under 35
 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph. Therefore this rejection has been repeated.

Conclusion :

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,136

Art Unit: 3744

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Leung whose telephone number is 571-272-4811. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Leung Examiner Art Unit 3744

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

rll